Law Offices Of

Egan, Flanagan and Cohen, P.C.

67 Market Street P.O. Box 9035 Springfield, Massachusetts 01102-9035

Phone: (413) 737-0260 • Telefax: (413) 737-0121 Chicopee Office: (413) 594-2114 by appointment only

Web site: www.eganflanagan.com

John J. Egan Theodore C. Brown Edward J. McDonough, Jr. Maurice M. Cahillane Robert L. Quinn Joseph A. Pacella* Joseph M. Pacella Kevin D. Withers Paula C. Tredeau^o Richard J. Kos Joan F. McDonough

Katherine A. Day Jennifer Midura D'Amour

Senior Counsel William C. Flanagan + Mary E. Boland Thomas J. Donoghue

Of Counsel David G. Cohen

James F. Egan (1896-1986)Edward T. Collins (1902-1995)Charles S. Cohen (1931-2004)

*Also admitted in CT Also admitted in DC □Also admitted in FL Also admitted in TX

June 28, 2006

BY ELECTRONIC FILING AND U.S. MAIL

Elizabeth A. French, Clerk U.S. District Court District of Massachusetts 1550 Main Street Springfield, MA 01103

Re:

Plasse vs. Tyco Electronics Corporation Civil Action No.: 04-CV-30056-MAP

Response to Defendant's Letter of June 16, 2006

Dear Ms. French:

The Defendants have submitted a letter dated June 16, 2006 regarding the recent Massachusetts Superior Court case of Covucci v. Keane Consulting Group, Inc. The Plaintiff has the following response to the Defendant's citation of this case.

Defendant's reference to the Covucci case only serves to highlight the absence of evidence for their claims in the motion to dismiss. In Covucci, the Plaintiff admittedly lied about the existence of the computer itself and used deletion software to wipe the relevant computer clean. Here, in contrast, the Defendant's own expert's report shows that no relevant document was edited or created at the time the Defendants claim there was forgery. A second Defendant document expert found no evidence that the document was forged. There was no evidence of use of any deletion software in this case. Furthermore, Covucci, like all of the other cases cited by the Defendant, concerns fraudulent evidence on substantive matters directly relevant to the heart of the cause of action, matters that are significant enough to suggest a motive for tampering. Here, in contrast, we are only discussing an issue which, if relevant at all, would only go to the question of damages.

Sincerely,

Maurice M. Cahillane / gn.
Maurice M. Cahillane

cc: Jeffrey D. Clements, Esquire

11094-030300\116100.wpd